These questions will help you to comment on the article you are reviewing. Your answers should give the author a guide in revising his or her work. You may not find all the questions relevant to reviewing the article that you are reading; use what is useful. The General series of questions are mine; the rest, which are more evaluative, are direct from a form that the journal *Cultural Anthropology* gives to its reviewers.

**General**
- What are the strengths of this article?
- Does the author state the article’s topic?
- What is the topic of the article in three or four words?
- Does the author state the argument of the article early and clearly?
- What is the argument of the article (so far as you understand it)?
- Who is the audience?

**Content**
- Does the first sentence draw the reader in? If not, what might make it better?
- Does the author establish the significance or relevance of the article? If not, where might this be done?
- Does the author raise questions that go unanswered? If so, specify one.
- Were any parts of the article redundant or not relevant? If so, specify where.

**Flow**
- Does the ending circle back to the beginning? If not, specify what might tie it together.
- Are there any unclear or missing transitions? If so, specify one.
- Was there any section where you lost interest? If so, specify what might have held your interest better there.

**Other**
- Did you feel the structure of the article could be clearer or stronger? If so, specify how.
- Could the author’s argument be better supported? If so, specify where.
- Does the article have any blind spots? If it does, specify one.
- Did you notice any errors in sources, dates, quotations, facts, or proper names? If so, note them on the article.
- What did you find most intriguing about this article?